FILED

JUL 2 0 2006

U.S. BANKRUPTOT GOOR! FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

In re:		Chapter 13
JOSEPH J. SKAJA,		No. 4:04-bk-06032-JMM
	Debtor.	MEMORANDUM DECISION
		(Supplementing Oral Rulings Made
		in Open Court. Pursuant to Bankr. R. 7052)
		Opinion to Post)

At the conclusion of a confirmation hearing held on July 18 - 19,2006, this court indicated that it would dismiss the case sua *sponte*. This decision is supported by 11 U.S.C. §§ 105(a) and 1307(c)(5) and (c)(1). The Debtor has caused his few creditors to suffer undue delay in this case. The case has been pending since December 2,2004, a period of 19 months; and two plans have been proposed, both of which are speculative in nature and therefore unconfirmable as not being feasible, 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(6). The Debtor is currently unemployed and has no existing consulting agreements; his expenses of over \$4,000 per month (Schedule J) clearly exceed his and his wife's monthly income by double; the Debtor has been delinquent in his promised monthly payments to the Trustee; and the source of future significant lump-sum payments are all intended to come from a privately-held corporation, which has never had a loss-free fiscal year, and is admittedly insolvent.

The Debtor has proposed two plans over the last 19 months, abandoned the first and amended it only when it became apparent that the promises made therein were unrealistic.

The primary impetus for this filing (and a previous one) are the ongoing collection and contempt actions by Mr. Skaja's ex-wife, Mary Liesenfeld, fka Mary Skaja, in the Texas domestic relations court. The debt to Ms. Liesenfeld, and the attorneys' fee obligations associated therewith, have been adjudicated to be non-dischargeable obligations in the Debtor's chapter 7 bankruptcy case pending in San Antonio, Texas. Ms. Liesenfeld is entitled to proceed to effectuate collection in the Texas courts, without being further barred by these bankruptcy proceedings in which non-confirmable plans are proposed. There is no present prospect that any new plan can overcome these obstacles. The current plan is not feasible, 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(6), and confirmation was and is denied.

Cause therefore exists to dismiss this case, with a six-month bar to refiling.

Counsel for Ms. Liesenfeld shall prepare a form of order denying confirmation and dismissing this case.

DATED: July **20**, 2006.

JAMES M. MARLAR

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

1	COPIES served as indicated below this 20 day of July, 2006, upon:
2	
3	Sally M. Darcy McEvoy, Daniels & Darcy, P.C.
4	4560 East Camp Lowell Drive Tucson, AZ 85712
5	Email darcysm@aol.com
6	Nancy J. March DeConcini McDonald Yetwin & Lacy, P.C. 2525 E. Broadway Blvd., #200
7	Tucson, AZ 85716-5300
8	Attorneys for Email nmarch@dmyl.com
9	Vincent J. Liesenfeld
10	Casseb & Pearl, Inc. Salem Law Offices
11	111 North Peters, Suite 100 Norman, OK 73069
12	U.S. Mail
13	Walter F. Wood 110 S Church Ave Ste 4398
14	Tucson, AZ 85701 Email <u>walterfwood@aol.com</u>
15	Dianne C. Kerns 7320 N. La Cholla #154
16	PMB 413 Tucson, AZ 85741-2305
17	Email mail@dcktrustee.com Chapter 13 Trustee
18	Office of the United States Trustee
19	230 North First Avenue, Suite 204 Phoenix, AZ 85003-1706
20	U.S. Mail
21	
22	By <u>/s/ M. B. Thompson</u> Judicial Assistant
23	Judiciai Assistant
24	
25	
26	
27	